P. F. 14 of 96.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1894-95

192 .

(L. R)

File No. 93 of 94

Serial Nos. 1-17

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-17

Major ~~Part~~ B for January 1878

SUBJECT.

Complaint of the Ryots of ~~the~~ Vinnamangalapuram ~~Tank~~ regarding the Contribution for the maintenance of the Village Tank

---

WD 2233—6PE—20,000—14-6-20

Accordingly
Pd
Issued
BSB
RD

7/e

A telegram received from the Registry of the Viceroy's Court is submitted.

On this first petition, a report was called for from the Deputy Commr. The report received from that Officer was considered incomplete & the Commr directed not only to submit a fuller report but also to prepare and submit an "individual distribution list". The report & list have not yet been received. The matter therefore remains pending.

Our another petition however subsequently presented by

Shows the date & asks that report be called for without further delay

27/6/95

Send an order forthwith directing that the sale be stayed & that the report called for on the 11th may be submitted without further delay

The order must issue today

27-6-95

Draft a fair copy for signature & submit

Submitted 27/6

11/5-95
11/17

the Ryots Mussalmans
& Hindoos, united
were hoped
declining to
interfere.

They now pray
for a hearing
which does not
seem to have been
given to them
before the order
of 18th May was
passed.

According to
the telegram, the
date fixed for
sale of the Delta
lands is Saturday.
Petns could not be
disposed of
& the sale.

[illegible]

27-6-95

3

(a)

For as regards the
reimbursement claimed
by the pyots has
not been submitted
submitted to
the 2nd Counsellor

13-8-95

Call the attention
of the Dep Comm
to the notes made
in the Cabinet.
The Commissioner
which he has
appointed the
amount has
not been
yet clear - Person
paying carriage
will apply and
Person is bound
to pay less than
what whose holding
consists of
more dryland.
This land may
be exempted as
carriage tax

Mud

29/2/96

Draft letter 3/3 draft
No 4/3/96 5.30 P.M. 5-3-96

S

Pet^n no 2605 of 1894-95

Petitioners — The Ryots of Adde
Viravanam pour
Dodballapur taluk

Subject — (1) Prayer for being
exempted from paying
the cost of carth work done
to the village tank (whose
maintenance had been
neglected for 20 years)
(2) Prayer for the remission
of wet assessment under
the tank for the years 1893-94
& 1894-95

Last Ref — (1) Letter no 209 d 25/8/96
from the Dy Comr reporting
on subject no (1)
(2) Letter no 246 d 19/8/97
reporting on subject no (2)

The petition presented by the petrs
as noted above was forwarded to
the Dy Comr for report
The Dy Comr reported that (1) the
tank in question had been restored
and made over to the Rev Dept
in 1895, (2) that, as its maintenance
had been neglected since then, Govt in
the D.P.W accorded sanction to
a project of Rs 4420 for bringing

6

to expedite his report and stay the sale pending the submission of the report

The Dy Comr reported, in his No 1545 7/8/95, that the neglect in the up-keep of the tank-bund was spread over 20 years, and nobody in particular could be held responsible and submitted an individual statement showing the amount recoverable. As it was apparent from the statement that amounts due by each individual were not proportional to the assessment payable by them, the Dy Comr was requested to explain the principle on which the levy was made. (Vernal No 8/a)

The Dy Comr explains, in his letter No 209 & 25/8/96, that the apportionment of the cost of earthwork was based on the area of land held by each khatedar & not on the assessment, that one acre of wet or garden land was held equivalent to 2 dry acres.

[The submission of this letter to the Council was apparently delayed pending the ~~pass~~ receipt of the report on the question of remission]

But it is obvious that the Dy Comr's explanation is opposed to the principles expounded in Rule 3 of the Chief Comr's notes where the basis is the assessment. Moreover mohatarfa-papers not been called upon to do their share of earth-work

[In the case relating to the Devanur Tank in Kadur Dt, the question of apportionment was also considered and while the appeal in that case was dismissed, the general question was referred to the Chief Engineer as between the lowland & dry lands & mohatarfa

The views of the latter do not yet seem to have been communicated to Govt; but the apportionment in Kadur Dt was made on the basis of assessment & not of area (which would be clearly inapplicable to mohatarfa-papers) & only the minor details were referred to the Chief Engineer]

As regards the question of remission of wet assessment for the years 1893-94 & 1894-95 on the ground that there was not sufficient water

Serial no 3 & 3/a

6

Mr Rajs & Mr Saunders at the water-
level. (3) that in accordance
with instructions from the Secretary
to Govt, P.W.D. the cost of earth-work
amounting to Rs 1639 was levied
from the ryots (4) and that as
regard the question of remission,
the Dr Manager officer would enquire

(Serial no 4)

The Dy Collr was thereupon
requested to explain why the
bund was so long neglected
& why the pending orders
on the subject were not carried out
and to complete the enquiry
into the question of remission.

Serial no 5

Petr's no 306 received from
Muna appa & other ryots of Adale
Viswanathpur calling into
question the legality of the levy
of the cost of earth work was discussed
& by endorsement stating that
the levy in question did not come under
"Contribution" which can only
be voluntarily paid.

On a telegram from the petrs
the Dy Collr Soliciting the Stay
of sale of their land for recovery of the
cost, the Dy Collr was requested

on the tank for the 2 years in question, the Dy Comr reports (1) that the tank was not breached, (2) that there is no proof at the disposal of time to shew that the produce was reaped by the ryots during the 2 years and (3) that the Inamdar of Hire Kodi for 1893-94 is said to have told the ryots that there was no ground for the claim

= The sale of petrs' land for recovery of the cost of earth-work was stayed on 27/6/95 (Final No 3/2)

= Stld for orders on the two questions raised in the Dy Comr's two letters

M 26/8/97

From the Sahanis enclosed I see nearly entire crop most of the fields - Hence no grds for remitting Rent the claim for it.

Rep[d] the Mamlatdar

point on the mode of calculation & also the omission of Mohalanobis report. Pending further report.

Payment has been a great disappointment. Remission of the report which was quite unnecessary & unaccountable.

The Secretariat office requires looking up & I am sure the Secretary will do the needful.

It is very much that a hand draft reminder was not issued. I hope we could send the reports which could expedite further which we are just sending. 4/9/97

T.O.

In the Bahawalpur almost all the boundary marks are effaced as it is out of repair. I draw the Dy. Comrs attention to these cases & ask him to do the needful.

Mudd
4.9.97

proceedings

1) Fr. ~~letter~~
2) Fr. end of Feb. about remission
3) Fr. copy & about the draft

My 6/9/97

Offg Prof & Secy fwd for info
KK 8/9/97

16

In letter no 382 dt 9/9/97, the
DC Cow[r] admits the incorrect-
ness of the apportionment
and promises to submit a
revised list

M 24/9/97

This addl. amt. cannot
be handed over to any one -
must wait the further revised
called for is received

30-9-97

The revised statement
has been received and
shows that the contribution
demanded is a triple more
than the annual
demand against the
villages collectively & the
This comes to doubling the demand
against each ryot-

M 21/1/98

(1) Cancelled
(2) Order 2542
dt. 14-96.

Memorandum printed
& copies sent to other
Dy Commrs
Nil more documents
M. further report
or maps required
recorded
25.1.98.

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 2605 — Original petition received from Collector's office on 3-4-95. Copy forwarded to Collector's office on 3-4-95. 9/1

Name of Petitioner. [illegible]

Village. [illegible]

Taluk. [illegible]

District. [illegible]

Date of first. A. R. 93-94-1

Date of Receipt. 3-4-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

Submitted to the Commissioner for Settlement & Land Records for favour of orders.

15/4

Copy of the notice has been issued & Petn has been produced.

15/4

Petn returned unsigned.

7-4-95

Petn Returned

17/4

Perused

SLR

20/4

2016

25/4

ABSTRACT.

[illegible]

Pet
1465

(2)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 2605

~~dated~~ _____ received at the

Dewan's Office from The ryots of Adde Viswanathapura Doddballapura Taluk praying that they may be exempted from contributing towards the repairs of the Village tank & that they be granted remission for the crop

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Dy. Commr Bangalore for ~~taking~~ a report of the extent of the case and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition is to be returned.

By Order,

Draft Mirle 2/4/95

Chief Secretary

BANGALORE,
17-4-189 5 for Dy.

25

Rejoinder SLR 20/4

M.G.C.P.B.—12-2-95—4000

L.R. 93-94-2

R3

1

20

13/4

2 2

Gen. Serial No. 61.

OFFICE OF THE
1895
10 MAY 95
1894-95 Pet. No. 3
DEPT OF MYSORE

From The Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To
The Chief Secretary to the Government
Mysore.

Dated 8th May 1895.
Despatched 9th do
Received

Enclosures—No. 2.

General No.
D No. 1334

The Chief Secretary's Official Memo No. Pet. 1465 dated 17th Ultimo forwarding for report a petition from the Ryots of Adde Visvanathapur, Dodda Ballapur Taluk, complaining that they are asked to contribute towards the cost of repairing their Village Tank &c.

In returning the enclosure of the above, has the honor to state that the Ryots having neglected to maintain the bund of their village Tank which was restored by the late Irrigation Department in 1875 at a cost of Rs 6105/1 the

Peon Book
9/5
Sent to the Commissioner
with reference
Peon 10/5

L.R. 93-963

Reports which do not give all the required information will only increase correspondence—
In re contractors
they should state why the bund was so long neglected only misleading rules and the report was not carried out. An individual distribution list should be prepared as provided for in most rules & submitted
In re remission
a full report after the completion of all the repairs and the
11/5/95
Draft 14/5

Chief Engineer in sanctioning an Estimate for Rs 4420/. for bringing it up to standard ordered that the Ryots should bear the cost of Earthwork amounting to Rs 1639/. and the petitioners have accordingly been requisitioned to pay it.

2. As regards the petitioner prayer for remission of assessment on account of failure of wet crops, the Divisional Officer will be asked to enquire into the matter.

(Sd) F. Broadfoot
for Commissioner
WHL

23

* Vide the Chief Engineer's No 1961 dated 17th April/94, Copy of which is enclosed. 340

Mysore P. W. Department
Central office

No 1961
340

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

24 Bangalore 17th April 1894

(3)

From

Lt Col. D. McNeil Campbell R.E
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Bangalore

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that Government have accorded sanction to a project of Rs 4420, for bunding the Adivinnamattapur Tank, Regr Nos 230 and 231 in Doddaballapur Taluk, up to standard, at present weir level. This tank was restored by the late Irrigation Department at a cost of Rs 6105 in 1875, when the bund was raised 4 feet above weir level with 6 feet top width, but the duty of maintenance having been totally neglected by the Ryots, the bund is now in a most dilapidated state, it being very low and weak.

The sanctioned estimate provides for raising the bund to R. L 5.6'00, with 6 feet top width, but the ryots will have to bear

ngs. of the Govt. of Mysore

(4)

Read:

Letter No. Docket. No: 1334 dtd 8th May 1895 from Dy. Comm: Bangalore tmtd. 18th reporting on the petition of the Raiyats of Adde Viswanathapura, Shalapura Taluk, praying that they may be exempted from paying their contributions towards the repairs of their village tank & that they may be granted remission of assessment on account of failure of crops &c

L.R. 93-94-4

No. 2076 Pet: 1619 D 11-5-95

Order thereon:- Govt. observe that the report submitted is not full & Reports which do not give all the required information will only cause correspondence. The Dy. Commr. is requested to see that the Contributions & Should be levied by the Panchayat & why the rules on the subject were not carried out. An individual distribution list should be prepared as provided for in those rules and submitted

The Memorandum & full report should be submitted after the completion of all the necessary enquiries to be made.

15/5/95

Draft Circular submitted 15/5 Dy. Comm Bangalore

Asst. Chief Secy.

the cost of removing it to the height it was made by the Irrigation Department as noted above, which comes to Rs 1639. I request that you will be good enough to take steps for the early recovery of this amount from them.

II I have, at the same time, to call your attention to the neglect of maintenance of the tank by the Ryots, and to request you to furnish, for the information of Government any explanation you may have to offer as to why the Revenue Department failed to enforce the maintenance rules in the case.

I have &c

Sd/ A. McNeil Campbell
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
P. W. Department

Copy
for Communication
for Commissioner
MJG

2605 of 94

Name No. 1165 of 1795

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

(3)

1895

Sub. Collector Tanjore
with reference.

27

No. 3064

Name of Petitioner. Municipal Council of Kumbakonam

Village. Kumbakonam

Taluk. Kumbakonam

District. Tanjore

Date of Arzi. 15-5-95

Date of Receipt. 16-5-95

Date of Disposal. L. R. 93-94-5

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Draft Rule in Paper Book

Copy with D.C.

18/5/95

Endorsement
The contribution
mentioned
herein
cannot be
considered
properly so called
as the value
of the remission
has been paid
to the
Municipality
and the
transaction
is a
purely
private one

22/5.

while that language the writer could not read
even by the aid of the Revised
Code - that the Collector is bound to
post which was signed by the Inspector
who did not sign the Warrant - that the Inspector
refused the Collector's fees - that the Inspector
has or the Chief Engineer has decided the payment
they should pay it - that the Post Office Guide
when asked that petition and requested that petition
Office petition by post - that Railway can refuse
be received and that the Inspector is not permitted
of contribution except the Engine & the Guard that
time the Act of Parliament - that they have no power to pay
Contribution for the unemployed Engine which was under the
without that Railway and Guard merely for the Engine that was employed
to the village - that if the work had been completed after they were directed
by that they should pay the Contribution they would have received
that Order on Saturday - that Inspector that Engine may pay
difficult even if they have Inspection - that if the Inspector if they do not
when to pay the Contribution except and Received that if they pay
to pay it that they should be Ld. - the Engine Refused . that if the Railway
the Guard and Inspector to their respective Employment, and that they
stopped that they should pay the Contribution Guard & Guard
in lieu of Engine that would have the Engine with Guard - that
Post. Should Inspector pay in the Inspector Railway that Guard - and
that the Collector that Railway that they pay Railway Inspector -
May that Engine they should - they the Railway Inspector Guard
Inspection.

ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಯಾವುದೇ ಪ್ರಗತಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

22 ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಷ್ಟವೆಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 3869 M
DATE 16-5-45

3869

(5)

24 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] / 15-[illegible] / 1895-[illegible]

1 [illegible]

1 [illegible]

1 [illegible]

ज्ञानेश्वरी ही मराठी भाषेतील एक अत्यंत श्रेष्ठ आणि लोकप्रिय ग्रंथ आहे. संत ज्ञानेश्वर महाराजांनी हा ग्रंथ इ.स. १२९० च्या सुमारास लिहिला. या ग्रंथाला भावार्थदीपिका असेही म्हणतात. हा ग्रंथ भगवद्गीतेवर आधारित असून त्यात एकूण सुमारे नऊ हजार ओव्या आहेत. ज्ञानेश्वरांनी हा ग्रंथ त्यांचे गुरू निवृत्तिनाथ यांच्या आज्ञेवरून लिहिला. त्यांनी तो अवघ्या सोळाव्या वर्षी पूर्ण केला, असे मानले जाते. त्यामुळे त्यांची प्रतिभा आणि भक्ती दोन्ही या ग्रंथात दिसून येतात.

ज्ञानेश्वरीचे वैशिष्ट्य म्हणजे तिची भाषा. संस्कृत भाषेतील गीता सामान्य लोकांना समजणे कठीण होते. म्हणून ज्ञानेश्वरांनी ती सोप्या, रसाळ मराठीत मांडली. त्यांनी अनेक ठिकाणी दृष्टांत, उपमा आणि रूपके वापरून तत्त्वज्ञान सहज समजावून सांगितले. त्यामुळे हा ग्रंथ केवळ पंडितांचा नाही, तर प्रत्येक सामान्य माणसाचा ग्रंथ बनला आहे. म्हणूनच त्याला ‘मराठीचा पहिला ग्रंथ’ असेही म्हटले जाते.

या ग्रंथात कर्म, भक्ती आणि ज्ञान या तीन मार्गांचा सुंदर समन्वय साधला आहे. ज्ञानेश्वर महाराज सांगतात की, कर्म करताना मनात भक्ती असावी आणि ज्ञानाने त्या कर्माचा अहंकार नष्ट करावा. त्यांनी ‘पसायदान’ या शेवटच्या प्रार्थनेत विश्वकल्याणाची कामना व्यक्त केली आहे. ही प्रार्थना आजही अनेक ठिकाणी म्हटली जाते. तिच्यातून त्यांची उदार आणि व्यापक दृष्टी दिसून येते.

ज्ञानेश्वरीचा प्रभाव मराठी समाजावर खोलवर आहे. अनेक शतकांपासून वारकरी संप्रदायात हा ग्रंथ पारायणाच्या रूपाने वाचला जातो. त्यामुळे तो केवळ साहित्य न राहता जीवनाचा मार्गदर्शक बनला आहे. आजही अनेक कुटुंबांत ज्ञानेश्वरीचे पारायण केले जाते. यावरून तिचे महत्त्व लक्षात येते. म्हणूनच ज्ञानेश्वरी हा मराठी संस्कृतीचा अमूल्य ठेवा आहे.

26

Regist for 1909. (7)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Petition~~ telegram No.

dated 27. 6. 95 received at the Dewan's Office from the Ryots of Adde Visvanathapura Doddballapur Taluk praying that they may be heard with reference to their application for remission of contribution amounting for

Stay of sale

L.R. 93-94-7

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District with a request that he will issue instructions at once to stay the sale which is said to be fixed for to-day and submit without further delay a report called for in this Office Proc: No: 20766 d. 11-5-95 P. 1619

By Order,

Draft (Rev. Commissioner)

Rev. Chief Secretary

BANGALORE, 189

27/6

M.G.C.P.B.—19-2-95—1,000

(6)

84

[illegible]
3069.

L.R. 93-94-6

[illegible]
6/[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1873-74 [illegible]

[illegible] 15-5-95 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 23 [illegible]

"By order"

[illegible] 29/5/95
Under Secretary.

[illegible] 22/83 [illegible] 29-5-95
[illegible] 1739 [illegible]

R No. 3646

Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Dated 27th April 1941

29

(8/a)

From

The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore,
P. W. D.

Sir,

Adverting to para 2 of your letter No. 1961/340 dated 17th Instant, anent the Adivisvanathapur Tank (Regd Nos 230 & 231) Doddaballapur Taluk, I have the honor to state that I have no explanation to offer beyond regretting the neglect which is spread over a period of nearly 20 years.

I have &c
Sd/- M. Anaji Rao
for Dy Commissioner

"Copy"

K Chandy

for Dy Commissioner

8 36

Gen. Serial No. 81[illegible]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 145
No.
1895 10 AUG. 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
Revenue
The Secretary to the Govt
Durbar of Mysore.

8

Dated 17th August 1895
Despatched 17th August 1895
Received

Enclosures—No. two.

General No.
No. 154

The Govt No. 12 20766 / Ext 1619 dated 11th May 1895 ordered the erection of earth work to be done to the Adi Vinayakathsamy Tank Doddaballapur Taluk.

As called for in the above, begs to submit a statement showing the amount due by each individual.

2. Copy of the office No 3640 dated 27th April 1894 to the Chief Engineer with

R HGL 9/8/95

Estimate 9/8/95

There why is any action to be taken in the subject - original communication on the report was called for

Submitted to the Hon'ble Secretary

BR 11/8

L.R. 93-94-8

Excavation carried out, the Tahsildar sending estimate & cancelling Pocket-note key plan containing in reference to the subject.

The estimation last called for was excluding a handful Patti deducting the land away all yoto pr Tahsildar - Dusland of sending it, the Dy Comr has submitted a statement showing how the contribution has been apportioned. The report called

regard to the land having been long neglected, is enclosed.

37

3. The sale of lands for money due, has been stopped as directed in the Revenue Secretary's Memo No 1909/Rev dated 27th Ultimo.

R Chaudy

for Dy Commissioner
Mr

...ecting...

...illed with reference to
...Councillor's order on
...No. 154 dated 7.8.96 from
Bangalore.

19/96

L. R. 93-94-10

...with reference to the principle
...ed in appraising the cost,
...Commr. submits that
...amount that could reasonably
...may be levied with the acres
...holding, one wet acre
...regarded as equivalent
...dry acres.
...ment's prayer for a hearing
...ferred to in the Secretary's note
...6-96 (no ? in the file)
...Dewan's orders.
...ted

draft reminder
submitted 9/9/96
...Asst. Secretary
11/9/97

Gen. 31]

1672

1896-97 (10)

From The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore,
Rev. & Genl. Departments.

Dated 25th August 1896
Despatched 26th ——— do ———
Received ———

General No.
No. 209.

Enclosure No. 1 of a file

In reply to Govt. Pro. No.
8120 / P. 1633 dated 6th March 1896.

Submits explanation of the principle upon which the cost of earth work is to be recovered from the Khatedars under the Addevis vanatha purvaka Kod Ballapur Taluk.

Petition Branch
28/9/96

read 11/9

Bagh Mutt Proceedings

Proceedings of the Court of

Mysore 9

39

L. R. 93-94-9

Read:-

Docket No. 154 dated 4-8-95 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore submitting a statement showing the amount due by each individual holder of land under the Arkeri Mirasi Kattu pur lands, in Kadabagere Taluk, towards the cost of certain work executed to the lands by the Public Works Dept.

Order thereon. R. 8120 P. 1633

Enc: one Statt. 6.3.96.

9

The attention of the Deputy Comr. is invited to the notes made in the statement received with the above, opposite to the names of (5) Erappa

(8)

(8) Chic Thimiah and

(62) Muniyandappa.

The principle on which the amount has been apportioned has not been very clear. Persons paying large melvaram assessment are shown as having to pay less than those whose holding consists of proportionately more dryland. This point should be explained as early as possible.

Draft 6/3/46

C 2

for Secretary

Lo. 6/3

The Dy. Comr. Bangalore will write the statement received with the above for reference and reminisce in

D. C.'s No 209

Ex. Staple

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 25th August 1896.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
General Revenue Department.

Sir,

In reply to Government Endorsement No. 8120 dated 6th March 1633. last calling for an explanation of the principle upon which the cost of earthwork is to be recovered from the Khatedars under the Addi Timmathapur Tank, Doddalladapur Tank, I have the honor to state that the apportionment of the cost of earthwork is based on the area of land held by each land-holder; not on the assessment the dry land holder paying only one-half of the rate fixed for wet and garden land. The area of the latter i.e. 114 acres and 9

(15)

1513
P.119

1897-98

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore.
Genl & Rev Departments,

Dated 19th } August 1897
Despatched 20th }
Received L.R. 93-94-12.

General No.
D No. 246.

Enclosure No. 1 file.

In reply to Secy's docket
No. 3530 of the 30th September/96
Petn: 714-96

Submits reports on the
subject of the remission of
assessment prayed for by
the ryots of Addi Viswana
thapur, Doddballapur Taluk

Petition 21
21/8/97

D on 24/8/97.

P 714 of 96 rel. to Amt to
the subject referred to in
the letter is put up.

HK
25/8/97 -
Submitted
HK
25/8/97

96

for the orders

Reminder 5 the Dy Comm^r forwarded
the report on 5/3/96 (Serial No 8)
not received. Any explanation at
this time is useless but care
should be avoided in future as
the non-receipt of the report
has led to delay in
disposal of the case.

The connected records received with
No 209 of 25/8/96 (Serial No 10) are
put with the open file. Those
not required to be separated. I have done it
accordingly.
MM

D 2nd Comm^r with
reference to his D.O. letter No 209 of 25/8/96 from the Dy Comm^r

MM 26/8/97

Put up.
27.

Bangalore District
D. Commissioner's Office

D. No. 246
Su. 1 file

Bangalore, 19th/20th August 1897

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev: & Genl: Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 3530 Ret. 7-14-96 of the 30th September 1896, calling for a report regarding the remission of assessment prayed for by the ryots of Addi Vishwanathpur in the Doddballapur Taluk, I have the honor to state that the ryots claim remission of assessment for the years 1893-94 and 1894-95, on the ground that there was not sufficient water during those years in the tank for their crop. It is however reported that the tank was not breached; nor was water let out for the repair thereof. There is no proof at this distance of time to shew that no produce was reaped by the

ryots

guntas was doubled and added to the extent of dry lands i.e. 32 acres and 4 guntas, and the cost of earthwork (Rs. 1039) distributed over the same three estates. Hence the apparent discrepancy noticed in the Proceedings under reply.

2. The Annexed correspondence ending with the Goddalakidapur Similar arzi of No. 136 dated 20th August 1895 and the English statement received with the Government Proceedings under reply are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Ramanagaya
Deputy Commissioner.

(13) (12)

44 Draft 57

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Distt

L.R. 93-94-11

Dated 30th Sept 1896

R. 3-5-30.
P.F. 14-96
His docket no 286
dated 16th September 1896

In reply to the above
has the honour to state
that the report called
for in this office reminder
Pet
7 14-96 dated 9th instant
is with reference to Para
3 of Govt Procs no 20766
Pet 1619
of 11-5-95 regarding the
remission of assessment
prayed for by the ryots
of Addevishwanathpura
in the Doddaballapura
Talk and not about
Contribution, and it requires that
the same may be submitted at an early date

By order

Draft 29/9/96

for Secretary

29/9/96

rights from their lands below the tank.

2. The ryots in their deposition before the Amildar state that they represented the matter to the Jamabandi officer in "Jaya Varsha" and were told by that officer that there was no ground to grant the concession prayed for.

3. The Amildar's report and the revenue papers bearing on the matter are submitted herewith for further reference if need be.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A Venkatarama

Dy Commissioner

WM

17 years for which remission is prayed.

47

(421) Order thereon No. 2842/P.T. 14-96
Dated Bangalore 14/16 Septr. 97

The Govt. regret to observe that there has been great delay, unnecessary and unaccountable, in the submission of this report.

2. From the Pahanis extracts submitted with the Deputy Commissioner's report, it is observed that paddy is entered against most of the fields for which remission is claimed. There are no grounds for remission and the claim for it is rejected. The Dy. Commr's attention is drawn to column 11 of the Pahani Extract showing almost all the boundary marks to be out of repair and he is requested to enforce the standing orders on the subject.

3. The Govt. observe that the principle on which each landholder has been called upon to contribute

is not in accordance with the standing orders. Rule 3 of the Chief Commr's Notification No 65 of 2° October 1873 lays down that the work to be done by each individual should be proportionate to the amount paid by each for lands, houses or other property attached to the village and not to the extent of land held by him. Rule 2 of the same Notification does not exempt the Mohalarpayers who may not own any land, from the duty of maintenance. The apportionment in the distribution list is incorrect on the above two points and the Deputy Commr is requested to submit a further report.

4. The records are returned for reference and resubmission

"Prompt"
Cr

To Secretary

14/9/77

To the Deputy Commr Bangalore
Dstt

(17)

Proceedings of the Govt. [illegible]

80

Read:

(1) Petition No. 2605 of 5-4-95 from Venkappa and the ryots of Addle Hiremattapur, Dodda ballapur Taluk, complaining of their being required to contribute towards the repair of the village tank and praying for remission of wet assessment under the tank for the years 1893-94 and 1894-95 on account of failure of crops.

13

L.R. 93-94-~~13~~

(2) Correspondence ending with letter No. 246 of 19th August 1897 from the Deputy Commr. Bangalore District reporting on the above and transmitting the records of the case.

The records show that the Addle Hiremattapur Tank (Registered No. 250 & 251) was restored by the late Irrigation Deptt. and made over to the Revenue Department in 1875. In the year 1894, the Chief Engineer brought to the notice of Govt. the extremely dilapidated condition of the bund owing to its maintenance having been totally neglected by the ryots ever since the date

of reclamation

2. The Govt sanction accorded sanction in the P.W. Dept to a project of Rs 4450 for bringing the tank up to standard to the weir-level and authorized the collection of Rs 1639 from the ryots, being the cost of raising the bund to the normal height. The petitioners pray for being exempted from paying the above amount.

3. From the undivided distribution list and the explanatory report submitted by the Deputy Commr, it appears that the apportionment of the cost of earth-work is based on the area of land held by each landholder, one wet acre being considered equivalent to two dry acres and that many of the petitioners have not been called upon to pay their quota.

4. On the question of reclamation the Deputy Commr reports that the tank was in good order during the

L. R. 93-94-14

No. 2842
Pet. F. 14-96

tion of Muniappa
le Visvanathapur,
ng for remission of
e tank for 1893-94
of failure of crops,
principles on whic
n repairing the tan
mong the inhabitan

98-2-98—125

Draft
C
2

(re inscribed)

57

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Petition, dated 14th September 1897.

READ :—

(1) Petition No. 2605, dated 5th April 1895, from Muniappa and other raiyats of Adde Visvanathapur, Dodballapur taluk, complaining of their being required to contribute towards the repair of the village tank and praying for remission of wet assessment under the tank for the years 1893-94 and 1894-95 on account of failure of crops.

(2) Correspondence ending with letter No. 246, dated 19th August 1897, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore district, reporting on the above and submitting the records of the case.

The records show that the Adde Visvanathapur tank (registered Nos. 250 and 251) was restored by the late Irrigation Department and made over to the Revenue Department in 1875. In the year 1894, the Chief Engineer brought to the notice of Government the extremely dilapidated condition of the bund owing to its maintenance having been totally neglected by the raiyats since the date of restoration.

2. The Government thereupon accorded sanction in the Public Works Department to a project of Rs. 4,420 for bringing the tank up to standard to the weir level and authorised the collection of Rs. 1,639 from the raiyats, being the cost of raising the bund to the normal height. The petitioners pray for being exempted from paying the above amount.

3. From the individual distribution list and the explanatory report submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, it appears that the apportionment of the cost of earthwork is based on the area of land held by each landholder, one wet acre being considered equivalent to two dry acres and that mohatarfa-payers have not been called upon to pay their quota.

4. On the question of remission the Deputy Commissioner reports that the tank was in good order during the years for which remission is prayed.

No. 2842—P. F. 14-96, DATED BANGALORE, 14TH SEPTEMBER 1897.

ORDER THEREON.—The Government regret to observe that there has been a great delay, unnecessary and unaccountable, in the submission of this report.

2. From the Pahani extracts submitted with the Deputy Commissioner's report, it is observed that paddy is entered against most of the fields for which remission is claimed. There are no grounds for remission and the claim for it is rejected. The Deputy Commissioner's attention is drawn to column 14 of the Pahani extract showing almost all the boundary marks to be out of repair and he is requested to enforce the standing orders on the subject.

3. The Government observe that the principle on which each landholder has been called upon to contribute is not in accordance with the standing orders. Rule 3 of the Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 65, dated 2nd October 1873, lays down that the work to be done by each individual should be proportionate to the *amount* paid by each for lands, houses or other property attached to the village and not to the extent of land held by him. Rule 2 of the same Notification does not exempt the mohatarfa-payers who may not own any land from the duty of maintenance. The apportionment in the distribution list is incorrect on the above two points, and the Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit a further report.

4. The records are returned for reference and re-submission.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

Exd.—H.A.R.

55

1897-98

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 14*th September* 1897

No. 2842 / Pet. F. 14-96

Dismissing the petition of Muniappa and other raiyats of Adde Visvanathapur, Dodballapur taluk, praying for remission of wet assessment under the tank for 1893-94 and 1894-95 on account of failure of crops, and pointing out the principles on which the amount incurred in repairing the tank should be distributed among the inhabitants of the village.

M.G.C.P.B.—28-2-98—125

(18)

Gen. 31]

Avoid the use of Ts for the right-hand margin

MJ 24/9/97

57



From Finance Department
Baluchistan Quetta

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Punjab
Revenue Department

Dated 1897

Despatched

Received 29 September

General No.
D No. 382

Enclosure No.

L.R 93-94-15

Lieutenant No. 4042
17-14-96
9-14 Sept. 97

Reporting orders issued for a correct statement of the collection of cost of Lieutenancy Pensions to Addl Divisional & Sessions Judges, Lahore Division

Petitions
20/9/97

Submitted
for
22/9/97

D.F 14-9-96 relating to the budget estimate
AK
21/9/97.

(V) 57

Dis. 382

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 1st the September 1897.

To,

The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Government order No. 2545 Rev. Dept. 14-96 of the 14th September 1897, regarding the collection of the cost of the earthwork done to the Siddeshwaramatta-para tank in the Doddaballapur Taluk, I beg to state that as remarked therein, the apportionment made is incorrect. Orders have now been issued for a correct apportionment, as per notification No. 65 of the 2nd October 1873.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner.

Mys.

Rt regarding
to the Enlargement of tank

AK
19/1/98

58

5070
Fel 373

(19)

1897-98.

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Revenue Department.

Dated 11th January 1898.
Despatched 14th Do
Received
General No.
No. 912

Enclosure No. Nil

Govt Prs: c/c 2542 of the 14th
Pet: F-14-96
September 1897, Para 3.

"

Submits an individual distribution list showing the amount of contribution recoverable from the ryots of Adderisranatthur in the Doddaballapur Taluk on account of the restoration of their village tank.

Sent D.
K
20.1.98

Rec'd 5th Councillor
with papers
24/1/98

Rec'd
24.1.98

L.R. 93-96-16

Peter M-1

Bangalore District.
Dy. Commissioner's Office.

D. No. 912.
Sec. one

Bangalore 11th January 1898.
14th "

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Land Rev. Department.

Sir,

With reference to para 3 of Govern-
ment Proceedings No 3542 of the 14th
Pet. F. 1496
September 1897, calling for a report re-
garding the recovery of contribution
towards the cost of tank repairs from
the ryots of Siddeshwaranahalli in the
Doddaballapur Taluk & Name the Honor
to submit herewith an individual
distribution list of the contributions
to be recovered from the ryots levied
according to the directions given in
the Government Proceedings referred
to above. Orders have been issued for
the recovery of the contributions according
to this revised list.

I Have the Honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.
[illegible]
Dy. Commissioner.

2nd

104	Thedaja bin Pillaja	Land	6—4—0	6—5—8
105	Subbaraddi bin Ramanta	do	5—8—0	5—9—6
106	Varadare bin Ranga			
	Ranga bin Varadare	both	do	22—0—0	22—5—11
107	Gurappa bin Thimmaya	Mokhatsa	2—0—0	2—0—6
108	Papa Reddy bin Munireddy	Land	34—0—0	34—9—4
109	Lakshmamma	Mokhatsa	1—0—0	1—0—3
111	Ramappa bin Varamappa	Land	6—4—0	6—5—5
	Total		1611—8—0	1639—0—0

Bangalore District.
Dy Commissioners Office.
Bangalore 11th January 1898.

H. Venkatasaraya
Dy Commissioner.

95	Siddaya bin Siddaya	Land	5-8--	5-9-6
96	Jinga Immigah bin Kanga Sidaya bin Siddaya both	Land	7-12--	7-14-1
97	Kanga bin Bira Anga	do	11-- --	11-3--
98	Timmappa	Land	5-8--	5-9-6
99	Hammanta bin Hammanta Manga bin Pillaya both	Land	10-- --	10-2-9
101	Manigabin Immiga	Land	7-4--	7-5-11
102	Narasinga Row son of Koda-Chinnappa bin Hammantaya both	Land	58-- --	58-15-8
103	Kencha bin Gillaya	Land	10-- --	10-2-9

55	Timmaya bin Nanjayya	Land	9 — 8 — a	9 — 10 — 7
56	Pinli Ranga bin Hammanta	— do —	20 — 12 — a	21 — 1 — 2
57	Papi wife of Timma	— do —	2 — 12 — a	2 — 12 — 11
58	Era bin Byra	— do —	6 — 8 — a	6 — 9 — 9
59	Nanjunda bin Timma Agasa	— do —	7 — 8 — a	7 — 10 — a
60	Hottappa bin Ranga Subbaya son of Galamma (Joint)	Do	6 — a — a	6 — 1 — 7
61	Ralleya bin Timma	— Do —	9 — 4 — a	9 — 6 — 5
62	Timmaliga bin Nanja	— Do —	a — 12 — a	a — 12 — 2
63	Hammanta bin Torignajetty Era bin Nanja	— Do —	7 — 8 — a	7 — 10 — a
64	Timmala bin Timmala	— Do —	10 — a — a	10 — 2 — 8
65	Linga bin Birappa	— Do —	1 — a — a	1 — a — 3
66	[illegible]			
67	Viswanath Bhatt	— do —	22 — [illegible] — a	22 — 3 — 11
68	Akkappa Sastry	— do —	11 — 8 — a	11 — 12 — a
		— do —	10 — a — a	10 — 2 — 9

44	Ranga vu Vàdikeu	—do	19—12—	"	20—"—11
45	Timmayya bin Bora	Joustore	2—"—	"	2—"—6
46	Narasamma wife of Laksh-mi Narasayya	Land	17—"—	"	17—4—7
47	Ramanna bin Timmanna	Land	2—4—	"	2—4—7
48	Venkatappa s/o Hanmantayya	—do	12—12—	"	12—15—5
49	Muniya bin Chikka	—do	10—"—	"	10—2—8
50	Venkatamma wife of Ragha Khattaru	—do	2—8—	"	2—8—8
52	Sanjiva bin Hanmanta	—do	5—"—	"	5—1—4
53	Nachamma wife of Govindaya	—do	20—"—	"	20—5—5
54	Akkaya wife of Venkatramana	—do	2—4—	"	2—4—7

81	Narasayya son of Subbayya	Land	6 — 8 — 0	6 — 9 — 6
82	Chikki wife of Mallaya	— do —	2 — 0 — 0	2 — 0 — 6
83	Pedda Venkatappa Sri Ramma	— do —	5 — 8 — 0	5 — 9 — 6
84	Patti Ranga Sri Era	— do —	12 — 0 — 0	12 — 3 — 3
85	Jurcappa son of Subbayya	— do —	10 — 12 — 0	10 — 14 — 11
86	Chikkamma Sri Ramanayya	— do —	14 — 0 — 0	14 — 3 — 9
87	Sarikonda alias Ramiya	Forest	0 — 8 — 0	0 — 8 — 1
88	Lakshmi Narasimha Swamy	Land	4 — 0 — 0	4 — 1 — 1
89	Pattamma Sri Ramayya	Land Sayer	7 — 8 — 0	7 — 10 — 0
90	Rama Rao	Land	24 — 0 — 0	24 — 5 — 6
91	Yellaiah Sri Ranga	— do —	26 — 0 — 0	26 — 7 — 0
92	Bora Ayya Sri Ayyappa	— do —	11 — 0 — 0	11 — 3 — 0
93	Subbayya	Mothurpa	2 — 0 — 0	2 — 0 — 6
94	Guru Ranja Sri Ranga	Land	8 — 8 — 0	8 — 10 — 3

67	Viswanath Bhatt	do	22—0—0	22-5-11
68	Akkaiya Sastry	do	11—8—0	11-12-0
69	Seminasaingar	do	10—0—0	10-2-9
70	Rempa Sastry Subba Bhatt	do	16—8—0	16-12-5
71	Innamma Char	do	10—0—0	10-2-9
72	Rasi Venket Ramana Bhatt	do	10—0—0	10-2-9
73	Girijamada Venket Rama Bhatt	sarcar 16 Inamta 11½	27—8—0	27-15-5
74	Lakshmana	Inamta	21—8—0	21-13-10
75	Shanthoga Narasing Rao	do	62—0—0	63-0-3
76	Nyaneyasawami	do	21—8—0	21-13-7
77	Venkatayatin Chick Venkata	Land	5—8—0	5-10-3
78	Nanjunda Reddy bin Govindya	do	80—4—0	81-9-9
79	Hanmanta bin Tonigra	do	5—4—0	5-5-5

29.	Ranga bin Braga Salavar	Inamte	14 — 8 — 0	14-11-11
30.	Hasa bin Narinappeya ——	Land	2 — 12 — 0	2-12-0
31.	Ganna bin Ranga ——	—do—	2 — 4 — 0	2-4-7
32.	Nyana Marte bin Nyanappa	—do—	6 — 4 — 0	6-5-5
33.	Muniga bin Pillya ——	—do—	3 — 12 — 0	3-13-0
34.	Rangachar son of Paramachar	—do—	9 — 8 — 0	9-10-6
35.	Nimmi wife of Ramaiah	—do—	2 — 8 — 0	2-8-8
36.	Sidda bin Hanuma Nayanta	Land 1 3/4 Inam 16 1/2	29 — 12 — 0	30-3-11
37.	Narsimma bin Ranga	Land	8 — 0 — 0	8-2-2
38.	Ranga bin Ranga deera	—do—	2 — 0 — 0	2-0-6
39.	Ramanna son of Venkatappanya	—do—	2 — 0 — 0	2-0-6
40	Appaiayya ——	—do—	2 — 4 — 0	2-4-7
42	Era bin Ranga ——	House tax	0 — 8 — 0	0-8-2

15.	Sanjayabai Bhyrayya	do	16 — 8 — a	16-12-5
16.	Timmayabai Ajana Timma	do	6 — 12 — a	6-13-9
17.	Muniamma wife of Sanjinda	do	4 — 5 — a	4-9-2
18.	Sanbing / Son ——	do	57 — 5 — a	58-6-3
19.	Basava bai Sanya ——	do	9 — 8 — a	9-11-1
20.	Sanya bai Hanmanta Talevar	Inamti do	22 — a — a	22-5-11
21.	Narasaya son of Venkappa	Land	21 — 4 — a	21-9-8
22.	Andra bai Irana chari	Land 14½ Inam land 3½	26 — 5 — a	26-15-1
23.	Byrappa bai Hanmantayya	Land	3 — 4 — a	3-4-4
24.	Sattiga bai Sidda ——	do	5 — 5 — a	5-9-5
25.	Sanjeayya bai Sanjeayya	Inamti	36 — a — a	36-9-9
26.	Andra bai Erama Vadar	Land 6 Inam 20	26 — a — a	26-7-a
27.	Durga bai Hanmanta —	Land	3 — a — a	3-a-10
28.	Timmi wife of Chenna	do	2 — 12 — a	2-12-10

List showing the distribution of the amount of contribution recoverable from the ryots of Nadeerisamanthjur in the Dodballapur Taluk on account of the restoration of their Tilage tank.

Serial No.	Name of Ryot	Class.	Amount of assessment payable annually on land	Amount of contribution	Remarks.
			Rs- As- P.	Rs- As- P.	
1.	Muniappa bin Narayanappa	Land Revenue	42-4-0	42-15-4	
2.	Anchalaya bin Tatayya	Do	40-8-0	41-2-11	
3.	Yarra Timma Reddy bin Kodlaya	Do	29-4-0	29-11-10	
4.	Timmaiya bin Thaya Thayamma bin Timma	Do	8-12-0	8-14-10	
5.	Yarrappa bin Chick Narayya	Do	48-12-0	49-9-2	
6.	Muniappa bin Thammanthaya	Do	12-4-0	12-7-3	
7.	Gangadayatin Thimma	Do	13-0-0	13-3-6	
8.	Chik Timmaya bin Gollaya	Do	58-8-0	59-7-11	
9.	Ranga bin Thalappa	Do	10-0-0	10-2-9	
10.	Timmi wife of Thammantha	Do	16-12-0	17-0-6	
11.	Bhagi wife of Thammantha	Do	17-8-0	17-12-9	
12.	Rama bin Sangappa Gopalaiah	Do	8-0-0	9-2-2	
13.	Muniappa bin Thammantha Reddy	Do	149-0-0	151-5-4	
14.	Jarigi wife of Muni Ranga	Do	4-12-0	4-13-2	

60 (20)

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Read again

Para 3 of Board Proc: No. 2842 dated 14th September 1897, forwarding for the principles on which the ~~redistribution~~ Shembaganur lands to be reserved from the rents of Addanimaliapuram lands the repair of their village tanks, were apportioned among the individuals ryots concerned in accordance with the standing orders of Board and calling for further report in the matter

Read

letter No. 912 dated 11th January 1898, from the Deputy Collector, Rangalore Dt. submitting with reference to the above distribution list revised statement

the amount incurred for the execution of earthwork to the Addanimaliapuram tank should be attested and calling for the Subordinate's distribution list

A.R. 93-94-17

of the distribution of the 61
contribution amount
among the individual
ryots.

order issued No. 7229. dt. 2.12.58
P.T. 14-96 1/2

(949)

Recorded

Secretary

28/1/98

To.

The Deputy Commr
Bangalore Dt.

NDeb
24/2